

No. ID/GGN/143-82/8794.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Udai Bir Singh and the management of M/s Koma Engineers, Jaipur Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Udai Bir Singh, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

The 1st March, 1983

No. ID/YMN/208-82/10109.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Surya Pal and the management of M/s Narang Udyog Jaicico Colony Jagadhri regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Surya Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/235-82/10115.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mohd Yasin and the management of M/s Anand Synthetic Pvt. Ltd., 22 K.M. Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68-15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mohd Yasin was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/2-83/10122.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Bahadur and the management of M/s Indian Induction Casting P. Ltd., 17/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68-15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Raj Bahadur was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?